

## Charter School Information in Utah – 1998 to Present (2006)

An accountability of the specified legislative intent, purpose and need for the creation of Charter Schools at inception, including what lessons we have learned from this reform initiative and other ramifications for taxpayers.

### Brief History

HB 145, Schools for the 21<sup>st</sup> Century, was sponsored by Brian R. Allen and enacted during the 1998 Session by the Legislature. The initial impetus or motivation for Charter School creation included the following “purpose statement” – *The Legislature recognizes that public schools leading educational reform into the 21<sup>st</sup> Century will be schools that aggressively pursue the goal of continuous improvement and customize their education programs to address individual needs of students.....financial incentives can help stimulate and provide opportunities for public schools to discover and implement creative solutions to each school’s unique challenges in helping to prepare individual students to compete and succeed in ... a global society.*

The Charter School authorization was the second part of this piece of legislation. It created a three-year pilot program wherein eight charter schools could be started to accomplish the purpose listed above. The express purpose of charter schools was to:

- 1) continue to improve student learning;
- 2) encourage the use of different and innovative teaching methods;
- 3) create new professional opportunities for educators that will allow them to actively participate in designing and implementing the learning program at the school;
- 4) increase choice of learning opportunities for students;
- 5) establish new models of public schools and a new form of accountability for schools that emphasizes the measurement of learning outcomes and the creation of innovative measurement tools; and
- 6) provide opportunities for greater parental involvement in management decisions at the school level.

A very different element was introduced into the public school system with this legislation, the notion that a “public school” may deny enrollment for students based on program, class, grade level, or school. Preference for students of parents involved in the development of the school was also introduced as an innovative concept.

SB 169, Charter School Amendments, followed in 2001, resulting in the elimination of the “pilot” notation and authorized additional charter schools. This bill also provided additional funding for charter schools. The preferential treatment cap of 20% of the school enrollment was also stricken from the statute. Although many touted charter schools as a lower cost alternative to public schools, additional funds were allocated by the legislature in subsequent sessions to bring per student funding closer to funding for other school districts despite granting relief from many state mandates.

In September 2003, a Utah Foundation report on Charter Schools in Utah stated that in addition to the purposes for charter schools noted in legislation (above), others have been suggested by charter school advocates, including:

- 1) encouraging market-type competition between charter schools and traditional schools;
- 2) serving special needs populations;
- 3) empowering schools to focus more on educational results than compliance with regulations; and
- 4) taking pressure off of high enrollment growth districts by providing additional schools for new students to attend.

In revenue and expense comparisons, the Utah Foundation noted that several programs' revenue and expenditures were used in comparing charter schools with public school districts despite the fact that "no charter schools received grants from the program (CTE) and "transportation funding is included in this analysis, even though charter schools do not operate to-and-from school transportation programs and very few charter schools could feasibly do so."

The most significant question is, have charter schools achieved the purposes for which they were created?

### **Issues and consequences not openly discussed with Charter School proliferation**

1. Projections for growth and building construction: Forecasting enrollment growth and placement of new facilities to serve the community education need(s) is a significant challenge in growing districts. Even the most well founded economist has difficulty applying birth-rate, construction permits, and many other factors in the mix to predict enrollment growth. As charter schools are not "neighborhood" schools, the difficulty in predicting enrollment and anticipating the location of schools is magnified. There are some benefits to charter schools in fast growing districts if the location of the new charter school is in an area of growth that does not have a new school in the pipeline. The result may be the elimination of a planned new facility or delayed construction until the population stabilizes and the true impact on surrounding schools is determined. The inefficiency in predicting this shift has a negative affect to taxpayers as capacity in new and existing facilities is not fully utilized. Several examples of this problem are currently unfolding in Alpine and Nebo School Districts.

2. Staffing existing schools: As charter schools draw students from many schools and communities, the ability to accurately predict the impact at existing neighborhood schools and adjust staffing to meet the ever changing enrollment is diminished. Experience in 2005 resulted in charter schools delaying their opening, enrolling students in neighborhood schools and then disenrolling them when the charter school opened. Staffing was established, teachers hired or transferred to meet the student need and then

the need changed as charter schools came on line. The result was class-size lowering in the neighborhood schools with corresponding decreases in state support for the students who left.

Attached is a brief summary of students moving from Alpine School District to Charter Schools opened up in the 2003-2005 time period. It is interesting to note that the October 1<sup>st</sup> enrollment counts for the charter schools, when compared with the students exiting the Alpine School District are not the same. Some of the difference is attributed to parents enrolling students from private schools, other charter schools, home schools, other school districts, and in-migration. One of the unforeseen costs to Taxpayers is the movement of students from private and home schools to the public system as Taxpayers do not currently contribute dollars to support private and home school students. The true impact of this shift is currently being studied.

3. Financial inefficiency of parallel systems: The Utah Foundation noted concerns from charter school critics citing parallel system costs. "Charter schools are also inefficient because of their small size and independence from central district administrative offices. Although there are arguably many educational benefits to small schools, the state and districts have long since recognized that there are few financial benefits. That is why districts persistently build large schools." In the Fall 2005 Utah Foundation report on the challenges facing Utah's Charter Schools, it is noted that charter schools receive \$203 more per student for all M&O funds (less start-up grants) than do districts. It is further noted by the Utah Taxpayer's Association, August 2005 article that Utah Charter Schools spend 4.4% more for *school operations*, adjusted for rent and lease costs, than do Utah School Districts. Utah Charter Schools also spend less on instruction, the expenditures for direct instruction of students, than do Utah School Districts by 4.2%.

When factors for special education instruction and other adjustments are made, according to the Utah Taxpayers Association, Charter School *operating costs* would be expected to be 9.7% lower than School District *operating costs*. If these factors are considered with the total *school operation* expenses, adjusted for rent and lease costs, charter school *operating costs* would be 15.6% higher instead of 4.4% higher than school districts.

Where is the efficiency or cost effectiveness to the Taxpayer?

4. Taxpayer participation: Nearly all school districts go through the pains of Truth in Taxation or preparing for a vote of the people to approve general obligation bonds, voted leeways, or voted capital initiatives. Charter school funding is allocated by the Legislature, net of start-up funds from state and federal entities. Taxpayers have no vote in their funding, maintenance, or termination yet they bear the cost for this parallel system. Accountability for the financial impact and performance of charter schools rests with the Legislature, not with the people. General oversight for the revenue and expenditures of charters schools rests with the handful of founding parents or charter school management companies, many of whom are legislators or related to legislators. In comparison, school districts have engaged the following in participative governance: District and School Community Council initiatives, PTA and PTSA organizations,

business school partnerships, Higher Ed partnerships, community comment meetings / opportunities, regular public meetings, and many other opportunities.

5. Parental involvement: Charter schools “require” parents to “volunteer” at their schools. One example was found on the Lincoln Academy website on June 14, 2005, that “parents will be asked to sign a contract to commit to volunteering at the Academy.” School districts are free and open to all regardless of parent participation. In a “free and open” public education system, no requirement can be forced on parents, businesses, or other volunteers. In contrast, supportive and energetic parents spend countless hours in PTA, Community Council, and other volunteer organizations to encourage parents to participate in the education process and support the education of all children. It is a fact that parents who are actively participating in the education process are better able to help their students succeed.

6. Social consequences: An item not mentioned anywhere in the discussion is what impact this movement is creating in our society. Fractures in relationships are emerging for students and their parents. What challenges will occur when students are integrated at the secondary school level with other students? Will impacts be felt in athletic or activity programs? Will school districts be able to pass bond or leeway levies to operate or construct more facilities as students, parents, and businesses withdraw from supporting school districts? Although no research has been done in this regard, many comments and observations have been made as the rancor of the debate intensifies.

7. Secondary school pressure: The majority of charter schools are structured K-6/8. As students graduate from charter schools at grade 8, the additional pressure placed on school districts at grades 9-12 will be significant. Secondary schools are much more expensive to build due to the comprehensive high school model and program requirements. What are the impacts to Taxpayers?

8. Special needs populations: One of the objectives of the charter school movement, mentioned in the Utah Foundation report, was to serve special needs populations or economically disadvantaged students. With a few very significant exceptions (work of Dr. Church with AMES), enrollment in charter schools is primarily homogenous and targets a higher socioeconomic population. The attached spreadsheet showing the movement of students from the Alpine School District affirms this fact. Students in Title 1 schools, SPED populations, or other students with special needs are not leaving school districts for charter schools. Additional research is currently being conducted on the demographics of charter schools.

## **Conclusion – What we have learned from the Charter School movement?**

Kids learn better when:

- 1) Parents are more involved in their schools
- 2) Class Sizes are smaller
- 3) Communities are collectively engaged in the building and support of education providers

Education is less expensive when:

- 1) Schools don't provide breakfast or lunch programs
- 2) Schools don't provide transportation
- 3) Schools don't provide extensive self-contained SPED services
- 4) Schools don't provide ELL services
- 5) Schools don't have to participate in Utah Retirement System programs
- 6) Schools are not bound by collective bargaining agreements
- 7) Schools are not required to act as a civic center
- 8) Schools don't offer athletic and activity programs
- 9) Schools have fewer state and federal mandates to comply with
- 10) Schools can require parents to volunteer
- 11) Schools can turn students away when the number of students in each class, program, or school is reached

Charter School Enrollment Patterns - \*see supporting documents for by grade data

Charter School		John Hancock	Timpanogos Academy	Ranches Academy	Lincoln Academy & Odyssey	UCAS
Year Charter School Opened		2002-03	2002-03	2004-05	2005-06	2005-06
Fall Enrollment		160	349	340	864	180
*Students departing Alpine Schools						
						Total By School
Alpine El		0	0	0	6	6
Aspen El		2	4	4	3	13
Barratt El		0	0	0	15	22
Bonneville El		0	6	0	15	21
Cascade El		1	1	0	4	6
Cedar Fort		0	0	17	0	17
Cedar Ridge El		16	12	0	9	37
Central El		3	11	0	13	27
Cherry Hill		0	1	0	3	4
Deerfield		0	0	2	48	50
Eaglecrest		0	4	7	0	11
Eagle Valley		0	0	34	6	40
Forbes		2	4	0	17	23
Freedom		0	0	21	47	68
Geneva		0	1	0	0	1
Greenwood		1	0	0	0	1
Grovecrest		40	20	0	26	86
Highland		6	14	8	36	64
Hillcrest		3	0	0	3	6
Legacy		6	5	0	47	58
Lehi		1	7	1	7	16
Lindon		0	17	0	22	39
Manila		10	10	0	39	59
Meadow		0	12	6	21	39
Mt. Mahogany		5	8	0	40	53
Northridge		1	15	0	5	21
Orchard		1	0	0	4	5
Orem		0	3	0	11	14
Pony Express		0	0	141	43	184
Rocky Mountain		0	52	0	24	76
Saratoga Shores		0	0	23	4	27
Scera Park		0	0	0	2	2
Sego Lily		0	1	5	23	29
Shelley		3	15	0	19	37
Snow Springs		0	3	10	24	37
Suncrest		0	0	0	11	11
Valley View		0	10	2	6	18
Vineyard		1	1	0	5	7
Westfield		6	0	3	23	32
Westmore		0	4	0	1	5
AF-JR					9	9
Canyon View					8	8
Lakeridge					4	4
Lehi JR					6	6
PGJR					18	18
Oak Canyon					11	11
Timberline					5	5
Mtn. Ridge					14	14
Willowcreek					5	5
AFHS						
Lehi HS						
Lone Peak						
Mtn View						
Orem HS						
PGHS						
Timpanogos						
New Kindergarten - if known		19	55	0		74
Private Schools - Identified		0	5	0		5
Home School - if known		0	4	0		4
Other Charter Schools		0	0	0		0
Unknown - Not in public system		33	34	56	152	275
TOTAL		160	349	340	864	1798



Students as values	MATH 1	MATH 2	MATH 3	MATH 4	MATH 5	MATH 6	PREALGEBRA	ELEMENTARY ALGEBRA	GEOMETRY
Alpine District	76%	78%	77%	77%	78%	78%	68%	73%	73%
Davis District	73%	77%	76%	77%	74%	76%	72%	74%	71%
Granite District	68%	71%	66%	68%	68%	67%	62%	55%	61%
John Hancock Charter Sch.	100%	95%	85%	85%	84%	95%	67%	100%	100%
Jordan District	74%	76%	74%	75%	73%	73%	66%	65%	67%
Nebo District	81%	83%	83%	80%	81%	76%	64%	70%	67%
Provo District	76%	78%	79%	80%	83%	75%	75%	77%	76%
The Ranches Academy, Inc	81%	74%	83%	85%	85%	67%	/0	/0	/0
Thomas Edison Charter Sch.	82%	76%	65%	70%	84%	79%	100%	/0	/0
Timpanogos Academy	82%	90%	89%	86%	86%	94%	/0	/0	/0
All Districts	40,959	39,161	38,399	38,045	37,028	36,058	39,955	41,532	31,923

\* Data comparing Alpine with other districts & charters. — includes subgroups.



Charter Schools: 2004-05

	John Hancock	The Ranches	Timpanogos
Total Population	120	130	253
Math pass rate = Total	84%	84%	90%
Special Ed. Population	17	10	16
Math pass rate = Spec. Ed.	29%	20%	56%
Hispanic Population	3	5	21
Math pass rate = Hispanic	100%	100%	100%
ELL Population	1	0	0
Math pass rate = ELL	*	*	*
Poverty Population	31	0	0
Math pass rate = poverty	17%	*	*

\* Not enough students to generate a score

Alpine School District Schools in the same neighborhood as Charters and/or with similar demographic populations (relatively few numbers of special populations) 2004-05

	Alpine Elem.	Cedar Ridge	Deerfield	Eaglecrest	Foothill	Freedom	Highland	Legacy	Lindon	Manila	Northridge	Pony Express	Rocky Mtn	Westfield
Total Population	443	409	438	455	324	515	559	578	293	403	439	341	415	560
Math pass rate = Total	87%	89%	88%	84%	91%	87%	83%	85%	78%	85%	89%	74%	80%	91%
Special Ed. Population	64	53	32	73	47	36	70	63	40	31	54	40	62	51
Math pass rate = sp. Ed.	59%	60%	72%	60%	81%	53%	54%	56%	30%	61%	59%	43%	58%	73%
Hispanic Population	1	6	5	17	18	6	4	2	14	6	18	23	17	5
Math pass rate = Hispanic	*	*	*	62%	83%	*	*	*	71%	*	67%	57%	65%	*
ELL Population	5	2	3	8	21	6	3	2	11	5	10	35	10	1
Math pass rate = ELL	*	*	*	*	90%	*	*	*	73%	*	84%	33%	70%	1
Poverty Population	47	49	62	88	76	62	61	53	55	64	103	130	74	33
Math pass rate = poverty	79%	86%	82%	78%	86%	85%	75%	77%	64%	77%	79%	77%	64%	85%

\* Data comparing similar geographic schools with charters.

Students as values	MATH 1	MATH 2	MATH 3	MATH 4	MATH 5	MATH 6	PREALGEBRA	ELEMENTARY ALGEBRA	GEOMETRY
Alpine District	84%	87%	86%	86%	87%	88%	81%	79%	77%
Davis District	81%	86%	84%	86%	85%	86%	84%	81%	75%
Granite District	81%	84%	81%	85%	83%	82%	80%	69%	70%
John Hancock Charter Sch.	100%	100%	93%	100%	92%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Jordan District	82%	85%	82%	84%	84%	84%	81%	72%	71%
Nebo District	87%	91%	88%	89%	89%	86%	80%	75%	69%
Provo District	91%	93%	92%	90%	91%	90%	92%	87%	85%
The Ranches Academy, Inc	84%	77%	88%	89%	91%	75%	/0	/0	/0
Thomas Edison Charter Sch.	83%	82%	70%	78%	90%	82%	100%	/0	/0
Timpanogos Academy	82%	94%	92%	87%	89%	96%	/0	/0	/0

\* Data without sub groups (SPED, ELL, Ethnicity)

# FY 2006 State and Local Funds Per Pupil

Districts: \$4,396

Charters: \$4,438

\$42 Difference

1.0% Difference

# FY 2007 State and Local Funds Per Pupil

Districts: \$4,847

Charters: \$5,009  
\$162 Difference  
3.3% Difference

# Based on 2006-07 Legislative Projections

Nebo School District					Amount/ Student
Students	WPUs	WPU \$	\$	2,417	
K	2,373.255	0.55	1305.29	3,154,886	
1-6					
7-8					
9-12	22,652.539	1	22652.539	54,751,187	
Total K-12	25,025.794		\$ 57,906,073	\$ 2,314	
Prof Staff	1892.668		4,574,579	\$ 183	
Admin Cost	16		38,672	\$ 2	
Class Size Reduction	1558.096		3,765,918	\$ 150	
Social Security			15,036,411	\$ 601	
Transportation (Subsidy)			(500,000)	\$ (20)	
Quality Teaching			2,938,214	\$ 117	
Local Discretionary			1,016,345	\$ 41	
Interventions			680,894	\$ 27	
At-Risk			269,590	\$ 11	
Gifted & Talented			101,130	\$ 4	
Trustlands			691,003	\$ 28	
Local Replacement					
Administrative Costs					
Reading Achievement			672,328	\$ 27	
Classroom Supplies			293,708	\$ 12	
Charter Parity					
Charter Administration					
Library Supplemental			84,003	\$ 3	
U-Pass			75,602	\$ 3	
Voted Leeway (State + Local Taxes)			8,087,347	\$ 323	
Board Leeway (State + Local Taxes)			2,695,782	\$ 108	
Local Reading Achievement			484,468	\$ 19	
Local Taxes = Total Liability			217,927	\$ 9	
Local Taxes = Recreation			745,263	\$ 30	
Local Taxes = Debt Service			15,597,285	\$ 623	
Local Taxes = 10% of Basic			4,359,205	\$ 175	
Local Taxes = Capital Outlay			2,346,349	\$ 94	
Total Amount per Student					\$ 4,882

ALA Charter School					Amount/ Student
Students	WPUs	WPU \$	\$	2,417	
75	0.55	41.25	99,701		
444	0.9	399.6	965,833		
150	0.99	148.5	358,925		
585	1.2	702	1,696,734		
1,254		1291.35	\$ 3,121,193	\$ 2,489	
46.489		112,364	\$ 90		
2.6		6,284	\$ 5		
57.288		138,465	\$ 110		
		720,002	\$ 574		
		135,004	\$ 108		
		53,339	\$ 43		
		30,951	\$ 25		
		6,033	\$ 5		
		5,451	\$ 4		
		35,518	\$ 28		
		1,448,799	\$ 1,155		
		6,722	\$ 5		
		28,547	\$ 23		
		12,624	\$ 10		
		431,177	\$ 344		
		13,444	\$ 11		
		3,724	\$ 3		
		3,352	\$ 3		
					\$ 5,034

Difference \$ 152  
Nebo's Students 25,026  
Additional Funding \$ 3,799,777

One-time Funding(2005-06) - Startup  
(Nebo hasn't ever received special startup when a new school was built)

881,265 \$ 703

ALPINE SCHOOL DISTRICT OCTOBER 3, 2005 HEAD COUNT (COMPARED TO OCTOBER 1, 2004 HEAD COUNT)

GRAND TOTAL												53,506	1,267	54,773	52,825	1,948
Special Total															302	-8
Served by Appl(Sp Ed) PK-6															30	4
ATEC															60	3
Dan Peterson															119	5
Summit (YIC) 7-12															93	-20
Summit (YIC) K-6															0	0
Regular Program Total												53,433	1,048	54,479	52,523	1,956
Senior High Total																
Summit (At Risk)															0	0
ALLC (Full Time)															0	0
Timpanogos High															34	1,506
Pleasant Grove															22	1,701
Orem															20	1,344
Mountain View															17	1,479
Lone Peak															33	1,888
Lehi															19	1,458
American Fork															24	1,517
SENIOR HIGHS	7th	8th	9th	10th	11th	12th	Total	SC	Total	Total	Total					
Junior High Total																
Willowcreek															11	1,002
Timberline															9	1,023
Pleasant Grove															66	1,284
Orem															35	1,000
Oak Canyon															35	1,466
Mountain Ridge															23	1,206
Lehi															15	1,025
Lakeidge															25	1,180
Canyon View															52	1,180
American Fork															64	1,456
JUNIOR HIGHS	7th	8th	9th				Total	SC	Total	Total	Total					
Elementary School Total	439	4,770	4,787	4,505	4,202	4,135	4,184	3,792	30,375	543	30,918	29,889	1,229			
Windor	31	99	91	89	66	86	75	87	592	4	596	560	36			
Westmore	29	71	65	56	51	65	46	60	414	7	421	384	37			
Westfield		127	158	157	157	153	161	136	1,049	1	1,050	1,026	24			
Vineyard	13	60	89	108	87	89	93	99	655	11	666	745	-79			
Valley View		73	64	76	70	75	75	55	491	8	499	531	-32			
Suncast	26	73	76	66	61	76	56	68	476	9	485	502	-17			
Snow Springs		265	239	193	178	149	118	104	1,246	26	1,272	1,009	263			
Shelley	19	144	174	135	143	117	124	116	953	2	955	969	-14			
Sharon	49	80	77	70	61	59	43	45	435	13	448	405	43			
Sego Lily	19	153	155	142	120	114	130	113	927	2	929	868	61			
Scera Park		62	81	60	59	79	61	53	455	0	455	460	-5			
Saratoga Shores	17	152	143	136	119	98	122	97	867	2	869	600	269			
Rocky Mountain		92	94	98	107	100	107	94	692	35	727	743	-16			
Pony Express	19	212	200	149	155	147	113	107	1,083	11	1,094	798	296			
Orem		105	116	89	84	100	116	98	708	1	709	730	-21			
Orchard		85	102	107	95	94	104	79	666	27	693	735	-42			
Northridge	15	98	108	111	107	115	99	98	751	4	755	776	-21			
Mount Mahogany		128	160	105	113	95	73	73	773	30	803	791	12			
Meadow		166	146	148	113	111	76	893	14	907	937	833	74			
Manilla		120	118	126	116	107	90	102	779	14	793	812	-19			
Lindon	16	50	70	62	46	54	80	74	436	19	455	523	-68			
Lehi	29	96	101	85	81	70	86	63	582	14	596	565	31			
Legacy		179	146	149	145	158	147	141	1,065	4	1,069	1,042	27			
Hillcrest		63	36	55	57	67	59	45	362	51	413	405	8			
Highland		113	115	123	115	115	168	149	908	12	920	951	-31			
Grovescrest		104	113	103	112	110	95	109	746	16	762	735	27			
Greenwood	28	108	101	101	95	85	77	63	630	9	639	624	15			
Geneva	42	79	76	63	85	62	79	60	504	1	505	533	-28			
Freedom		175	191	177	183	148	136	128	1,138	17	1,155	1,116	39			
Forbes		91	92	79	86	89	74	58	586	43	629	641	-12			
Foot Hill		75	71	80	63	78	100	84	551	19	570	562	8			
Eagle Valley		136	103	115	86	83	67	72	662	10	672	575	97			
Eaglecrest		171	170	159	173	136	128	127	1,064	23	1,087	943	144			
Deerfield	21	155	138	128	127	141	120	98	907	1	908	817	91			
Cherry Hill	25	90	84	80	79	80	62	59	637	4	641	675	-34			
Central	25	81	89	70	55	64	62	48	480	4	484	510	-26			
Cedar Valley		16	23	23	21	20	19	0	122	0	122	130	-8			
Cedar Ridge		140	133	115	99	103	107	125	822	11	833	745	88			
Cascade		75	79	96	71	83	88	575	10	610	610	-25				
Bonneville		105	130	125	113	104	119	102	798	1	799	817	-18			
Barrett		71	78	86	66	67	96	91	565	19	584	572	12			
Aspen		81	89	94	70	67	77	76	554	25	579	566	13			
Alpine	16	101	94	110	115	117	125	114	776	9	785	755	30			
ELMENTARY SCHOOLS	Pre-Kdg	K	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	Total	SC	Total	Oct. 1, 2004	Oct. 03, 2005			

DOES NOT INCLUDE TUITION PAYING STUDENTS

District SPED students = 5,439 as of 3/22/06  
 District SPED students = 5,439 as of 3/22/06  
 District SPED students = 5,439 as of 3/22/06

## FY 2007 K-12 WPU's

District	Fall Enrollment				FY05-06				Grades 1-12				Kindergarten				K-12	
	Oct 1 2004		Oct 1 2005		FY 2005		FY 2006		FY 2007		FY 2007 HH		FY 2005		FY 2006		FY 2007	
	FY 2005	Oct 1 2005	FY 2005	Oct 1 2005	Change	FY 2005	Change	FY 2005	Change	FY 2005	FY 2007 HH	FY 2007 HH	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2007 HH	FY 2007	FY 2007 HH
Alpine	52,825	54,773	55,269	55,269	3.7%	46,316.956	48,030.683	48,462.959	48,462.959	1,396.211	48,462.959	48,462.959	2,565.886	2,680.616	2,684.562	2,684.562	51,147.521	51,147.521
Beaver	1,508	1,536	1,543	1,543	1.9%	1,363.361	1,399.265	1,396.211	1,396.211	1,396.211	1,396.211	1,396.211	66.911	68.182	68.523	68.523	1,464.734	1,464.734
Box Elder	10,561	10,825	10,718	10,718	0.6%	9,438.889	9,495.522	9,580.982	9,580.982	12,173.396	12,173.396	12,173.396	460.319	463.081	467.249	467.249	10,048.231	10,048.231
Cache	13,988	13,428	13,568	13,568	0.3%	12,052.867	12,052.867	12,173.396	12,173.396	12,173.396	12,173.396	12,173.396	586.431	588.190	594.072	594.072	12,767.468	12,767.468
Carbon	3,488	3,389	3,337	3,337	-1.5%	3,025.362	2,940.652	2,896.542	2,896.542	2,896.542	2,896.542	2,896.542	142.236	136.253	136.179	136.179	3,032.721	3,032.721
Daggett	136	156	176	176	14.7%	122.134	140.088	158.019	158.019	158.019	158.019	158.019	8.525	9.778	11.030	11.030	169.049	169.049
Davis	60,805	62,456	63,739	63,739	3.1%	53,308.005	54,960.553	56,114.725	56,114.725	56,114.725	56,114.725	56,114.725	2,721.098	2,805.452	2,864.366	2,864.366	58,979.091	58,979.091
Duchesne	3,894	3,993	4,047	4,047	2.5%	3,355.539	3,439.427	3,487.579	3,487.579	3,487.579	3,487.579	3,487.579	179.612	184.102	186.679	186.679	3,674.258	3,674.258
Emery	2,366	2,335	2,301	2,301	-1.3%	2,153.228	2,125.236	2,093.357	2,093.357	2,093.357	2,093.357	2,093.357	90.909	89.727	88.381	88.381	2,181.738	2,181.738
Garfield	947	940	933	933	-0.7%	819.234	813.499	807.805	807.805	807.805	807.805	807.805	42.304	42.008	42.008	42.008	855.507	855.507
Grand	1,418	1,470	1,444	1,444	3.7%	1,258.383	1,304.943	1,281.454	1,281.454	1,281.454	1,281.454	1,281.454	52.070	53.997	53.025	53.997	1,394.479	1,394.479
Granite	68,568	69,048	69,091	69,091	0.7%	59,285.783	59,700.783	59,760.484	59,760.484	59,760.484	59,760.484	59,760.484	2,976.435	2,997.270	3,000.267	3,000.267	62,780.751	62,780.751
Iron	7,788	8,230	8,600	8,600	5.7%	6,898.051	7,291.874	7,620.008	7,620.008	7,620.008	7,620.008	7,620.008	366.227	387.102	404.522	404.522	8,024.530	8,024.530
Jordan	75,716	77,369	78,909	78,909	2.2%	67,227.577	68,706.584	70,080.716	70,080.716	70,080.716	70,080.716	70,080.716	3,207.078	3,277.634	3,343.187	3,343.187	73,423.903	73,423.903
Kane	1,963	1,992	2,002	2,002	1.5%	1,717.939	1,743.708	1,752.427	1,752.427	1,752.427	1,752.427	1,752.427	96.491	97.938	98.428	98.428	1,850.855	1,850.855
Kearney	1,196	1,194	1,168	1,168	-0.2%	1,067.428	1,065.293	1,041.857	1,041.857	1,041.857	1,041.857	1,041.857	53.011	52.905	51.741	52.905	1,093.598	1,093.598
Millard	2,957	2,952	2,942	2,942	-0.3%	2,651.381	2,646.078	2,638.140	2,638.140	2,638.140	2,638.140	2,638.140	110.284	110.063	109.733	110.063	2,747.873	2,747.873
Morgan	1,967	2,029	2,122	2,122	3.2%	1,805.644	1,863.425	1,949.143	1,949.143	1,949.143	1,949.143	1,949.143	73.089	75.428	78.898	78.898	2,028.041	2,028.041
Nebo	24,887	24,742	25,775	25,775	-0.6%	21,870.705	21,739.481	22,652.539	22,652.539	22,652.539	22,652.539	22,652.539	1,260.239	1,252.678	1,305.290	1,305.290	23,957.829	23,957.829
No. Sanpete	2,313	2,321	2,335	2,335	0.6%	2,046.189	2,052.328	2,064.642	2,064.642	2,064.642	2,064.642	2,064.642	99.391	99.689	100.287	100.287	2,164.929	2,164.929
N. Summit	986	982	977	977	-0.4%	900.124	896.524	892.041	892.041	892.041	892.041	892.041	40.358	40.197	39.996	40.197	932.037	932.037
Park City	4,258	4,367	4,491	4,491	2.6%	3,915.446	4,017.248	4,129.731	4,129.731	4,129.731	4,129.731	4,129.731	148.363	152.220	156.482	156.482	4,286.213	4,286.213
Plute	345	302	274	274	-12.5%	276.288	219.269	241.752	241.752	241.752	241.752	241.752	9.014	7.887	7.154	7.887	226.423	226.423
Rich	429	416	398	398	-3.0%	391.645	379.896	363.560	363.560	363.560	363.560	363.560	15.553	15.086	14.437	15.086	377.997	377.997
San Juan	2,957	2,908	2,819	2,819	-1.7%	2,670.029	2,624.639	2,543.275	2,543.275	2,543.275	2,543.275	2,543.275	112.499	110.587	107.159	110.587	2,650.434	2,650.434
Sevier	4,305	4,288	4,324	4,324	-0.4%	3,847.732	3,863.341	3,863.000	3,863.000	3,863.000	3,863.000	3,863.000	178.539	177.825	179.248	179.248	4,042.248	4,042.248
So. Sanpete	2,739	2,764	2,809	2,809	0.9%	2,457.284	2,479.400	2,519.070	2,519.070	2,519.070	2,519.070	2,519.070	119.796	120.874	122.808	122.808	2,641.878	2,641.878
So. Summit	1,322	1,344	1,359	1,359	1.7%	1,199.244	1,219.631	1,242.804	1,242.804	1,242.804	1,242.804	1,242.804	55.785	58.733	57.811	57.811	1,300.615	1,300.615
Tintic	262	274	278	278	4.6%	232.172	242.852	246.495	246.495	246.495	246.495	246.495	9.539	9.978	10.128	10.128	256.623	256.623
Tooele	11,039	11,793	12,524	12,524	6.8%	9,675.646	10,333.590	10,974.273	10,974.273	10,974.273	10,974.273	10,974.273	559.790	597.856	634.923	634.923	11,609.196	11,609.196
Utah	5,642	5,539	5,571	5,571	-1.8%	4,830.439	4,743.491	4,771.952	4,771.952	4,771.952	4,771.952	4,771.952	285.737	280.594	282.278	282.278	5,054.230	5,054.230
Wasatch	4,136	4,303	4,434	4,434	4.0%	3,727.738	3,876.848	3,993.153	3,993.153	3,993.153	3,993.153	3,993.153	177.102	177.946	183.284	183.284	4,176.437	4,176.437
Washington	21,584	23,189	24,345	24,345	7.4%	19,359.265	20,791.851	21,831.444	21,831.444	21,831.444	21,831.444	21,831.444	1,027.033	1,103.033	1,158.185	1,158.185	22,989.629	22,989.629
Wayne	517	514	512	512	-0.4%	469.821	468.803	464.936	464.936	464.936	464.936	464.936	17.414	17.310	17.241	17.310	482.177	482.177
Weber	28,527	28,774	29,193	29,193	0.9%	25,161.716	25,388.171	25,768.994	25,768.994	25,768.994	25,768.994	25,768.994	1,189.155	1,199.857	1,217.855	1,217.855	26,986.849	26,986.849
Salt Lake	23,595	23,728	23,381	23,381	-1.5%	20,290.616	20,412.360	20,106.175	20,106.175	20,106.175	20,106.175	20,106.175	1,091.921	1,098.473	1,081.996	1,081.996	21,188.171	21,188.171
Ogden	12,684	12,542	12,679	12,679	-1.1%	10,744.283	10,626.096	10,742.983	10,742.983	10,742.983	10,742.983	10,742.983	603.924	597.281	603.851	603.851	11,346.834	11,346.834
Provo	13,359	13,273	13,195	13,195	-0.6%	11,466.078	11,397.282	11,328.898	11,328.898	11,328.898	11,328.898	11,328.898	643.298	639.438	635.601	635.601	12,036.720	12,036.720
Logan	5,821	5,737	5,676	5,676	-1.4%	5,135.957	5,064.054	5,008.349	5,008.349	5,008.349	5,008.349	5,008.349	278.673	274.772	271.750	271.750	5,200.099	5,200.099
Murray	6,492	6,459	6,445	6,445	-0.4%	5,848.989	5,825.593	5,802.291	5,802.291	5,802.291	5,802.291	5,802.291	261.204	260.159	259.118	260.159	6,067.752	6,067.752
DISTRICT TOTAL:	489,491	498,484	505,713	505,713	1.8%	430,349.517	438,362.711	444,825.678	444,825.678	444,825.678	444,825.678	444,825.678	21,977.043	22,392.199	22,729.438	22,729.438	467,555.116	467,555.116
Charter Total:	6,191	11,928	18,957	18,957	86.2%	5,631.708	11,528.000	16,905.180	16,905.180	16,905.180	16,905.180	16,905.180	329.918	544.500	923.450	923.450	17,828.630	17,828.630
STATE TOTAL:	495,682	510,412	524,670	524,670	2.9%	435,981.225	449,890.711	461,730.858	461,730.858	461,730.858	461,730.858	461,730.858	22,306.961	22,936.699	23,652.888	23,652.888	485,383.746	485,383.746

Source: USOE, Finance and Statistics, pm.

Note: Jean Massieu, Dream and the Park City Learning Academy charter schools are no longer included.

## October 1, 2006 Projected Enrollment

		Total	k	1-6	7-8	9-12	SC Dec 1 05
Ogden	68 Ogden Preparatory Academy	500	50	450	0	0	0
Jordan	74 American Preparatory Acad.	530	47	315	110	55	3
Provo	81 Discovery	90	0	0	56	32	2
Provo	82 Freedom	413	74	331	8	0	0
Granite	83 AMES	423	0	0	0	423	0
Millard	84 CBA Center	29	0	0	1	22	6
Carbon	86 Pinnacle Canyon Academy	364	56	216	35	18	39
Salt Lake	87 City Center Aca	161	0	0	56	103	2
Granite	88 Success School	84	0	0	2	56	26
Wasatch	89 Soldier Hollow School	76	0	76	0	0	0
Washington	90 Tuacahn Performing Arts	207	0	0	0	206	1
Utah	92 Uintah River High	60	0	0	0	60	0
Alpine	93 John Hancock	187	20	111	39	10	7
Cache	94 Thomas Edison	365	55	256	43	0	11
Alpine	95 Timpanogas Academy	505	50	335	120	0	0
Salt Lake	97 Salt Lake Arts Academy	253	0	120	130	0	3
Logan	98 Fast Forward High	218	0	0	0	218	0
WBR,DV,Od	A1 NUAMES	377	0	0	0	377	0
Alpine	A2 Ranches	360	48	312	0	0	0
Ogden	A3 DaVinci	325	0	0	0	325	0
Jordan	A4 Summit	536	77	446	0	0	13
Jordan	A5 Itineris	124	0	0	0	124	0
Davis	A6 North Davis Prep. Acad.	500	50	450	0	0	0
Grand	A7 Moab Elem.	50	5	30	10	0	5
Granite	A8 East Hollywood	317	0	0	0	314	3
Iron	A9 Success Academy	200	0	0	0	200	0
Alpine	1B UCAS	300	0	0	0	300	0
Alpine	2B Lincoln Academy	492	55	366	71	0	0
Granite	3B Beehive Sci & Tech	150	0	0	100	50	0
Davis	4B Wasatch Peak Academy	350	50	296	0	0	4
Jordan	5B North Star Academy	450	50	299	99	0	2
Cache	6B Thomas Edison South	284	57	191	25	0	11
Nebo	7B Reagan Academy	675	75	450	150	0	0
Nebo	8B American Achieve Aca A Leadership A	1,275	75	444	150	585	21
Jordan	9B APA West Navigator Point	512	50	300	112	50	0
Alpine	1C Odyssey	400	50	300	50	0	0
Cache	2C Early College	150	0	0	0	150	0
Granite	3C Entheos	450	50	300	100	0	0
Alpine	4C Lakeview--ralph	675	100	575	0	0	0
Davis	5C Legacy Prep	500	50	300	100	50	0
Nebo	6C Liberty--ralph	450	50	300	100	0	0
Granite	7C Monticello	675	75	450	150	0	0
Alpine	8C Mountainville	675	75	450	150	0	0
Jordan	9C Paradigm	500	0	0	0	500	0
Alpine	1D Renaissance--ralph	600	50	450	100	0	0
Jordan	2D Channing Hall--ralph	675	75	450	150	0	0
Davis	3D Spectrum--ralph	150	10	105	35	0	0
Davis	4D Syracuse Arts	350	50	300	0	0	0
Washington	5D Washington Aca	450	50	300	100	0	0
Alpine	6D Webster	525	50	375	100	0	0
Charter Total:		18,967	1,679	10,449	2,452	4,228	159



## October 1, 2005 Enrollment

		Total	k	1-6	7-8	9-12	Spec ed
Ogden	68	533	51	356	126	0	0
Jordan	74	525	47	316	105	54	3
Provo	81	90	0	0	56	32	2
Provo	82	413	74	331	8	0	0
Granite	83	423	0	0	0	423	0
Millard	84	29	0	0	1	24	4
Carbon	86	364	56	220	35	18	35
Salt Lake	87	161	0	0	56	103	2
Granite	88	84	0	0	2	79	3
Wasatch	89	76	0	76	0	0	0
Washington	90	207	0	0	0	207	0
Utah	92	52	0	0	0	52	0
Alpine	94	183	20	119	42	0	2
Cache	95	365	55	267	43	0	0
Alpine	96	496	50	361	85	0	0
Salt Lake	97	240	0	109	128	0	3
Logan	98	218	0	0	0	218	0
WBR,DV,OC	A1	377	0	0	0	377	0
Alpine	A2	364	50	314	0	0	0
Ogden	A3	263	0	0	0	263	0
Jordan	A4	536	77	446	0	0	13
Jordan	A5	124	0	0	0	124	0
Davis	A6	501	51	450	0	0	0
Grand	A7	32	5	19	5	0	3
Granite	A8	317	0	0	0	317	0
Iron	A9	105	0	0	0	105	0
Alpine	1B	180	0	0	0	180	0
Alpine	2B	492	55	366	71	0	0
Granite	3B	78	0	0	78	0	0
Davis	4B	326	48	276	0	0	2
Jordan	5B	450	50	299	99	0	2
Cache	6B	284	57	200	25	0	2
Nebo	7B	598	75	425	98	0	0
Nebo	8B	1,199	67	504	269	344	15
Jordan	9B	471	49	312	110	0	0
Alpine	1C	372	53	319	0	0	0
Cache	2C	0	0	0	0	0	0
Granite	3C	0	0	0	0	0	0
Alpine	4C	0	0	0	0	0	0
Davis	5C	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nebo	6C	0	0	0	0	0	0
Granite	7C	0	0	0	0	0	0
Alpine	8C	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jordan	9C	0	0	0	0	0	0
Alpine	1D	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jordan	2D	0	0	0	0	0	0
Davis	3D	0	0	0	0	0	0
Davis	4D	0	0	0	0	0	0
Washington	5D	0	0	0	0	0	0
Alpine	6D	0	0	0	0	0	0
Charter Total:		11,528	990	6,085	1,442	2,920	91

Alpine SD area  
 Charter School SPED  
 91 ÷ 09690  
 2 ÷ 2,087 students

Students  
to/from Charter Schools

ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS	Transfer to Charter	Transfer from Charter
Alpine	6	1
Aspen	1	0
Barratt	15	0
Bonneville	14	0
Cascade	4	0
Cedar Ridge	10	0
Cedar Valley	0	0
Central	15	0
Cherry Hill	4	0
Deerfield	49	0
Eaglecrest	2	0
Eagle Valley	6	0
Foothill	0	0
Forbes	17	0
Freedom	48	0
Geneva	1	0
Greenwood	0	0
Grovecrest	31	4
Highland	36	0
Hillcrest	3	0
Legacy	47	1
Lehi	7	0
Lindon	22	2
Manila	42	2
Meadow	21	0
Mount Mahogany	44	0
Northridge	8	1
Orchard	9	3
Orem	11	0
Pony Express	42	1
Rocky Mountain	26	0
Saratoga Shores	5	0
Scera Park	2	0
Sego Lily	23	0
Sharon	0	0
Shelley	27	0
Snow Springs	25	0
Suncrest	12	0
Valley View	6	0
Vineyard	5	1
Westfield	23	0
Westmore	1	0
Windsor	2	2
JUNIOR HIGHS		
American Fork	9	0
Canyon View	8	2
Lakeridge	5	1
Lehi	6	0
Mountain Ridge	16	0
Oak Canyon	12	2
Orem	3	2
Pleasant Grove	20	2
Timberline	8	1
Willowcreek	6	0
SENIOR HIGHS		
American Fork	17	2
Lehi	17	2
Lone Peak	4	0
Mountain View	16	4
Orem	9	1
Pleasant Grove	17	1
Timpanogos High	13	1
East Shore (Full Time)	2	1
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>860</b>	<b>40</b>